(Spl. - H.C.A.S. C.D., 78-e)

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

PANAJI – GOA

APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO. 295 OF 2004

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Quorum appearances, Court's orders, or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's Orders.

Mr. A.D. Bhobe, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. J. Godinho, Advocate for the Respondent No.1.

Mr. A.N.S. Nadkarni, Advocate General with Ms. W. Coutinho, Govt. Advocate for the Respondent No.2.

Ms. S. Naik, Advocate for the Respondents No.5 to 10.

CORAM : B.H. MARLAPALLE, &
N.A. BRITTO, JJ.

DATE : 13th SEPTEMBER, 2004.

P.C.

Heard Mr. Bhobe, the learned counsel for the petitioners.

2. We have perused the affidavit-in-reply filed by the respondent No.1 Village Panchayat. It has been stated that the subject houses were constructed by Respondents No.5 to 10 sometime prior to 1993 and the record of the Village

Panchayat is not available for that period. The properties have been assessed to tax for the first time in the year 1993-1994 and the respondents have been paying the tax as levied. The affidavit further undertakes to initiate action against the respondents within three weeks and admittedly this action would be as per law.

3. Under the circumstances, the grievance raised in this petition has been duly redressed and it is for the Village Panchayat to hear the respondents as well as the petitioner and take action as per law.

Petition is disposed of.

B.H. MARLAPALLE, J.

N.A. BRITTO, J.

sl.